

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,180		12/22/1999	JAY MERVES	P/2167-112	5863
2352	7590	03/19/2004		EXA	MINER
OSTROLE	NK FAB	ER GERB & SOFI	DYE, RENA		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3627	

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/470,180	MERVES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	4				
·	Rena L. Dye	3627	14/				
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 D 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	ıl Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	E		ГО-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallman (US 6,360,210).

Wallman teaches a computer based system for reducing risk, for a given portfolio, by examining the expected risk, pricing it and transferring some or all or it in exchange for consideration (Abstract). Wallman teaches that a problem is solved by combining a graphical or other user interface accessible by the investor over the Internet or through an intermediary with a computational pricing mechanism that examiners an investor's current portfolio expected risk, prices the expected risk, and transfers to a third party all of some of the expected portfolio risk or some other more general or different risk, such as market risk (column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 8; Figure 1,2). As illustrated in Figure 2, the user will select a portfolio of securities to be protected such as 40 stocks in varying percentages, the duration for the protection, such as 3 ½, 5 and/or 7 years, the amount of protection, such as no downside risk so that the end of the selected period the portfolio would have at least its current value, and the method of payment (column 9, lines 8-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/470,180

Art Unit: 3627

According to Wallman, the method for managing risk underlying a portfolio of asserts/liabilities, includes the steps of a) receiving information about the portfolio from a user, including a list of assets/liabilities, current market values for each of the assets/liabilities, amount of each asset/liability (e.g. shares owned or a percentage of each issues as part of the entire portfolio), and an input of what the user wishes to have limited for downside risk (shielded or protected); b) storing the portfolio to be shielded; c) analyzing the portfolio using value-at-risk and sensitivity algorithms and probabilistic analysis to determine an expected likelihood of catastrophic loss in value; d) providing a series of choices to the user via the graphical user interface to select (i) a time period or periods for which the user seeks shielding from the market risk for the portfolio, and (ii) degree of market risk protection; and e) pricing the requested shielding and providing said pricing to the user.

With respect to claim 1, therefore, a financial report is produced (preamble of claim 1) to the user regarding a portfolio of assets/liabilities, and information is stored via the computer's memory. Since the portfolio is managed via a computer based system, an "electronic site on the computer network to which the users may connect" and "providing at least one electronic screen to the at least one user over the computer network, the at least one screen including the subset of financial performance data" would have to be present, or implied by the teachings of Wallman.

The methodology can be applied to securities as well as any series of assets or liabilities that have volatile returns and market or other risks that can be priced. Assets/liabilities include any of the following: foreign or domestic securities, equities, options warrants, mutual fund shares, commodities, bonds, notes, bills, derivatives, tradable assets or liabilities or any combination thereof, financial assets, limited partnerships interests, private placement securities,

Application/Control Number: 09/470,180

Art Unit: 3627

foreign currencies, contracts, futures, bank loan syndication interests, debts, etc. (column 14, lines 55-63). It is well known in financial art, that assets, such as bonds, include a term of maturity and coupon rate printed on their face. Loans or notes are typically broken down into payments as a function of time.

Those assets/liabilities recited in the present claims, not specifically mentioned by Wallman, would be included within the teachings of the assets/liabilities of the reference.

A user accesses the computer-based system through a variety of communications systems, such as the Internet or any other computer network, or via third parties, such as a broker, bank, or other intermediary (contacts) (column 8, lines 48-67).

Although Wallman does not explicitly teach receiving search criteria over the computer network from at least one of the users for identifying at least a subset of financial performance data being arranged in a time series, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have requested search information regarding assets/liabilities that could be added to the user's portfolio. In other words, the user could request a financial report wherein a proposed asset is added to the user's portfolio, in that the user could see the outcome/risk of adding the particular asset to the portfolio, and hedge against the risk of the proposed asset.

Since the user can select a portfolio of securities to be protected as indicated in column 9, lines 8-16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the financial art to have requested a search of each of the securities prior to forming the portfolio, with the individual and resulting overall risk of the proposed portfolio prior to committing to the portfolio. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided historical data, for each of the securities upon the search requested by the user.

Application/Control Number: 09/470,180

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on December 19, 2003 have been fully considered.

Applicant's arguments regarding the Brauns et al. (US 5,381,555) and Barton, III et al. (US 6,026,381) have been considered. Brauns et al. and Barton, III et al. fail to clearly teach the financial performance of a security, and also, an underlying security related to a security transaction.

The Examiner would like to point out that the effective filing date of Applicant's provisional patent application, 60/134,470, to which Applicant claims priority of the present patent application, is May 17, 1999. Applicant has indicated in the response filed on April 11, 2003 that the priority date is May 17, 1994, which is incorrect.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rena L. Dye whose telephone number is 703-308-4331. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/470,180 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rena L. Dye

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627

R. Dye March 15, 2004